

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/669,916	09/25/2000	Mitsuaki Oshima	2000_1326	2601	
. 759	90 02/03/2006		EXAM	INER	
Wenderoth Lir 2033 K Street N	nd & Ponack LLP		HA, D.	AC V	
Suite 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, Do	C 20006		2634		
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 02/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				5~		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/669,916	OSHIMA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-		
		Dac V. Ha	2634			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
WHI0 - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE INSIDE IN THE MAILING DATE IN THE MAILING THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. Itimely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ja	anuary 2006.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 39-54 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 39-54 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
	ion Papers	•				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		e Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		•			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicatity documents have been received in Received in Received in Received in Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ry (PTO 413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
3) 🔯 Inforr Pape	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>81/16/96</u> . r/12 106	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the RCE filed on 01/12/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 39-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsinberg (US 4,794,447) in view of Tanaka et al. (US 4,309,726) (hereafter Tanaka).

Tsinberg discloses a receiver (Fig. 1) comprising of a HDTV decoder (26) and monitor (28). Tsinberg, therefore, fails to teach "a controller operable to examine the quality of the data stream, an output unit operable to output the HDTV signal and to stop outputting the HDTV signal when the quality of the data stream is lower than a predetermined acceptable quality for a predetermined period of time".

Tanaka et al discloses PCM reproducing unit (Fig. 2, Abstract) wherein the outputting of the decoded signals is controlled by "a controller" (23) and "an output unit" (25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement Tanaka et al's quality control technique for use in Tsinberg's television receiver. Such modification Would overcome the noise problem associated with reproduced signals.

Application/Control Number: 09/669,916 Page 3

Art Unit: 2634

4. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1), a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed.

Claims 39-54 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth above.

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR

1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 01/16/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the REMARKS filed on 01/16/06, applicant has argued, on page 7, "Tanaka discloses a system in which errors ... error has been exceeded". However, in Tanaka, the audio is muted when the count of errors exceeds a threshold. Effectively, if the count of errors still remains different from the threshold (i.e. exceed the threshold), the audio would be still muted for that subsequent period.

Conclusion

6. This is a RCE of of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/669,916. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had

Application/Control Number: 09/669,916

Art Unit: 2634

been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dac V. Ha whose telephone number is 571-272-3040. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571-272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dac V. Ha Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2634